

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
SAVANNAH DIVISION

NASH N. TUTEN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CV423-350
)	
THE CITY OF SAVANNAH, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

After a careful de novo review of the record in this case, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (R&R), (doc. no. 6), to which no objections¹ have been filed. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED**. Plaintiff's Motions² to Proceed In Forma Pauperis are **DENIED**, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (Docs. nos. 2 & 7.) Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED without prejudice**. (Doc. no. 1); see also Dupree v. Palmer, 284 F.3d 1234, 1236 (11th Cir. 2002). The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

ORDER ENTERED at Augusta, Georgia, this 29th day of January, 2024.



**J. RANDAL HALL, CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA**

¹ Tuten did file a document titled "Summary Judgment." (Doc. no. 9.) That document does not include anything that could be construed as an objection to the Magistrate Judge's conclusion that Tuten is barred from proceeding in forma pauperis pursuant to § 1915(g).

² Tuten's original Motion was not signed, (doc. no. 3), his second motion corrected that defect, (doc. no. 7).